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**ABSTRACT**

Text. 150-300 words. Follow IMRD structure (Introduction, Method, Results and Discussion).

**KEYWORDS** keyword 1, keyword 2, keyword 3,...

**RESUMEN**

Texto. 150-300 palabras. Seguir estructura IMRD (Introducción, Método, Resultado y Discusión)

**PALABRAS CLAVE** palabra clave 1, palabra clave 2, palabra clave 3, …

**1. INTRODUCTION**

An extension between 4,000 and 7,000 words is recommended, including all the information in the text.

Regarding the introduction, it must be well documented (more than 60% of the citations must refer to current literature) and contain a statement of the problem or subject under study, background, and a theoretical foundation. The objectives of the research must be clearly established.

**2. MATERIAL AND METHOD**

These must be specified in sufficient detail so that the study can be reproduced. It is recommended that this section include the following sub-headings: design, sample, instrument, procedure, and data analysis.

**Fig 1.** **Title figure**

(insert figure)
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2.1 Sub epigraph

*2.1.1 Sub epigraph level 2*

Vivamus non mollis nunc. Maecenas finibus elit eu quam suscipit, eget hendrerit ex pretium. Sed tincidunt nulla non mattis vehicula. Aenean tempus nec nibh ut ultrices. Donec felis massa, mollis sed tristique ac, commodo vestibulum mauris. Nullam convallis dolor in diam scelerisque eleifend consequat vitae orci.
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**3. RESULTS**

The results should be presented in a clear and concise manner. The figures or tables included in said section must follow the format proposed in the previous section.
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**4. DISCUSSION**

In this section, the results obtained will not be shown again, but the most outstanding ones will be highlighted based on citations from similar studies that complement those obtained.
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**5. Conclusions**

This section will offer the main conclusions of the study, based on the data obtained and the discussion carried out.
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5.1 Limitations and future lines of research

It is necessary to underline the main limitations of the research , as well as the future lines of work resulting from the study carried out.
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**6. fUNDING**

Works derived from national and international competitive projects financed with public funds will be prioritized, following the recommendations of the Council Science Editors. After the conclusions and before the references, the name of the project and the financing entities must be indicated in the manuscript file, anonymously with XXXX only for initial evaluation, in order not to identify authors and research teams.

**7. referencEs**

Regarding the citations and references used to provide scientific support to the article, researchers are recommended to comply with the following criteria:

* Prioritize papers published in the last 5 years indexed in quality databases (Web of Science or Scopus), with a large presence (over 40%) of literature in English.
* References to prestigious national and international journals, including papers written in English.

Examples:

Book:

Bauman, Z. (2007). Los retos de la educación en la modernidad líquida. Gedisa.

Palomo, R., Ruiz, J., & Sánchez, J. (2008). *Enseñanza con TIC en el siglo XXI*. Mad.

Chapter book:

Sánchez, E. (2013). De la tiza a la pizarra digital. En J. Sánchez y J. Ruiz (Eds.), *Recursos didácticos y tecnológicos en educación* (pp. 143-157). Síntesis.

Article:

Alonso, R.R., Plaza, I.R., & Orfali, C.H. (2019). Barriers in teacher perception about the use of technology for evaluation in Higher Education. Digital Education Review, (35), 170-185.

Ruiz, J., Colomo, E., Ríos, J.M., & Gómez, M. (2020). Big Data in education: perception of training advisors on its use in the educational system. *Social Sciences, 9*(4), e53. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040053